



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/719,588 | 11/21/2003 | Achim Ansmann | C 2586 COGG | 3271 |

23657 7590 07/09/2009
FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
2000 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

KANTAMNENI, SHOBHA

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1617

| | |
|-------------------|---------------|
| NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-------------------|---------------|

07/09/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocket@foxrothschild.com

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/719,588 | Applicant(s) ANSMANN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Shobha Kantamneni | Art Unit 1617 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shobha Kantamneni. (3) Joseph Posillico.

(2) Sreeni Padmanabhan. (4) Robert Henrie.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 2-5 and 14-21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Garland et al., and Luisi.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed 112 first paragraph rejection of claims 16-19, and 103 rejection. Applicant will file a response cancelling claims 16-19 to overcome 112 first paragraph rejection. Examiner will drop the 103 rejection made in the previous office action. Once, the examiner receives the response from the applicant, examiner will update the search, and if no other new prior art is found, the pending claims would be passed to issue..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|--|
| | /SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617 |
|--|--|